Optimizing Interfacial Cross-Linking in Graphene-Derived Materials, Which Balances Intralayer and Interlayer Load Transfer
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ABSTRACT: Graphene-derived layer-by-layer (LbL) assemblies in the form of films or fibers have recently attracted particular interests owing to their low cost, facile fabrication, and outstanding mechanical properties, which could be further tuned by surface functionalization that cross-links graphene sheets in the assembly. However, this interfacial engineering approach has not yet been finely utilized considering the dual roles of cross-links in modifying the intrinsic properties of graphene sheets and their interlayer interactions. In this work, combining first-principles calculations and continuum-mechanics-based model analysis, we find that the functionalization weakens the intrinsic mechanical resistance of graphene, whereas it enhances interlayer load transfer through interlayer cross-linking. There are optimum cross-linking densities or concentrations of the surface functional groups that maximize the overall tensile stiffness, tensile strength and strain to failure of graphene-derived LbL assemblies, arising from the competition between intralayer and interlayer load-bearing mechanisms, as defined by the type of functionalization and size of graphene sheets. Our work quantifies the ultimate mechanical performance of graphene-derived LbL assemblies, on the condition that their microstructures and functionalization could be adequately controlled in the fabrication process.
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INTRODUCTION

Graphene has attracted particular interests due to its high intrinsic tensile stiffness and strength up to 1 TPa and 120 GPa and a strain to failure of 20%. Recently, much attention has been paid on how to bring the strong and tough merits of graphene monolayers into those of their macroscopic forms.1–5 Within this scenario, one could employ graphene nanostructures as reinforcing phases in composites.5,7 However, the native interfaces between graphitic nanostructures and matrices create weak points where failure may initiate. This issue critically prevents successful transfer of the outstanding performance of graphene across multiple length scales up to the macroscopic level. In addition, the lack of an efficient technique to uniformly disperse graphene-based nanostructures into the matrix further limits the overall reinforcement.5,7 An alternative approach to macroscopic mechanical applications of graphene and its derivatives (e.g., graphene oxides) is to assemble them in a layer-by-layer (LbL) manner, with high microstructural orders (Figure 1a).6,9–13 Studies have shown that the interlayer cross-linking mechanism and the size of the graphene sheet are two of the most important factors in determining the overall mechanical performance of graphene-derived films and fibers. For example, the tensile strength (strain at failure) of graphene films ranges from 189 MPa (2.7%) to 425 MPa (2.6%) with different types of interlayer cross-links,14,15 and the tensile strength (strain at failure) of graphene fibers is 140.0 MPa (5.8%) and 501 MPa (6.7%) for the lateral size of the graphene sheet of 0.84 and 18.5 μm, respectively.16,17 Indeed, similar layered hierarchical microstructures appear in many biological materials, including bones, teeth, and nacre, where brittle minerals and soft proteins are integrated in a staggered order for superior stiffness, strength, and toughness,18–20 which can be captured in the tension-shear chain model that includes the intralayer and interlayer load.
transfer mechanisms. Inspired by the similar LbL microstructures, a deformable tension-shear (DTS) chain model considering the intralayer deformation was proposed to predict the overall mechanical properties of graphene-derived LbL materials. Recent studies further explored topologically optimized staggered LbL structures for high mechanical performance. However, compared to the above-mentioned LbL biological materials with microscale building blocks, interlayer cross-linking between single-atomic-thick graphene sheets remarkably reduces their in-plane mechanical resistance. Consequently, enhancing the interlayer load transfer by cross-linking will not always improve the overall mechanical properties of the LbL assemblies. More interestingly, the graphene-based films or fibers are reminiscent of carbon fibers consisting of graphitic building blocks, although their microstructures have not been well elucidated at present. However, the state-of-the-art performance of graphene-derived films or fibers is still less competitive than that of carbon fibers, which may be attributed to the high density of defects and larger interlayer distances between graphene sheets in these LbL assemblies. Considering the complexity in their hierarchical structures and cross-linking mechanisms, there is still a lack of rigorous understanding of the fabrication–microstructure–properties relationship, which prevents us from being able to rationally design graphene-derived materials with superior mechanical performance.

Load transfer through native interfaces with van der Waals interaction between graphene sheets is weak, commonly leading to pull-out failure of the reinforced phases from the matrix. Technological advances in modifying graphene by functional groups and the implementation of various cross-linking mechanisms, including multimodality, sacrificial, and self-healing bonds, have enabled optimum design of the LbL assemblies by engineering material interfaces at the molecular level. Recently, the effects of interlayer cross-links in this context have been reported in several experimental and computer simulation-based studies, wherein graphene sheets are cross-linked by covalent, coordinative, or hydrogen bonds introduced through processes of oxidation, reduction, and irradiation treatments. These approaches substantially improve the interfacial load transfer capacity and thus elevate the overall mechanical properties, even though chemical treatments can introduce defects such as vacancies and adatoms in graphene and reduce its in-plane mechanical resistance as an accompanying effect. As an evidence, experimental studies demonstrated mechanical degradation of the LbL assemblies after excess radiation, although the cross-links lead to enhancement at a low concentration.

In this work, we aim to propose stiffening, strengthening, and toughening strategies for graphene-derived LbL materials by optimizing the concentration of various functional groups on the basis of first-principles calculations and continuum model analysis. The competition between the weakening intralayer mechanical resistance and enhancement in the interlayer load transfer after the interfacial engineering is discussed. Three representative types of surface functionalization and interlayer cross-linking mechanisms that have been reported in experimental work are considered, including the VCBs, CBs, and hydrogen bonds (HBs). Their atomic

Figure 1. (a) Deformable tensile-shear (DTS) model before and after tensile loading is applied. The representative volume element (RVE) containing two sheets (1 and 2) is denoted by the dashed box. (b) Detailed atomic structures of the cross-links considered in this work, which include the vacancy-induced covalent bond (VCB1&2), the divalent atom (magnesium)-assisted coordinative bonds (CBs), and the hydrogen bond formed between two hydroxyl groups (HB). (c) Shear force–strain relation, (d) shear stiffness, and force to failure calculated for a graphene bilayer bridged by VCB, CB, and HB cross-links.
structures are illustrated in Figure 1b. For VCB, two carbon atoms in the neighboring graphene sheets are covalently bonded across the layers, leaving a monovacancy in each layer after the cross-link forms. This covalent cross-link is reminiscent of the “extended interplanar linking” in graphite. For CB, a divalent metal atom (Mg in this study) in the interstitial space bridges the hydroxyl groups on the graphene sheets, following previous work. For HB, two hydroxyl groups bonded to the top and bottom graphene layers interact through the hydrogen bonds. In the following part, computational methods and theoretical models will be described first, followed by results and discussion. Phase diagrams of the overall mechanical properties predicted for graphene-derived LbL materials in the spaces of designing parameters (the concentration of functional groups, the size of graphene sheet size) are predicted, and the optimum cross-linking densities (OCDs) are obtained for the highest tensile stiffness, strength, and strain to failure, respectively.

- MATERIALS AND METHODS

First-Principles Calculations. We explore the structural and mechanical properties of graphene sheets and their interfaces with various functional groups by performing first-principles calculations using the plane-wave basis set-based density functional theory (DFT) methods. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof parameterization of generalized gradient approximation (GGA) is used for the exchange-correlation functional, and the DFT-D3 method with Becke–Johnson damping is used for the corrections of the interlayer van der Waals interaction (Figure S1). The projected augmented wave potentials are used for ion–electron interactions. The Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) is employed for all calculations. For all results presented, an energy cutoff of 400 eV is used for the plane-wave basis sets. A single Γ-point is used for the Brillouin zone integration as we have relatively large supercells. These settings have been verified to achieve a total energy convergence of less than 1 meV/atom. For geometry relaxation, the force on atoms is converged below 0.01 eV/A. All structures are initially optimized using the conjugated gradient method. A vacuum layer of 20 Å is used to isolate the atomic layer.

Characterization of Mechanical Properties. The key parameters to be determined are the in-plane mechanical properties of the graphene sheet with various functional groups and the interlayer mechanical properties of the interlayer cross-link generated by different types of functional groups. In-plane tensile behaviors of a graphene sheet with various functional groups are investigated by directly applying an in-plane tensile deformation in the armchair and zigzag directions of a rectangular supercell of the monolayer graphene with a lateral size of 1.97 nm × 1.71 nm, with 0, 1, 2, and 4 randomly distributed functionalized groups. The composite performance of the cross-linked bilayer graphene is also calculated to assess the efficiency, strength, or Young’s modulus Y_{eff} for the whole structure is defined as (F_0/2h_0)/(Δ/l), where F_0/2h_0 is the effective tensile stress and Δ = |u_l(l) − u_l(0)| is the elongation of the RVE cell, which can be explicitly expressed as

\[
Y_{eff} = \frac{D}{2h_0(0.5 + [(1 + c)/l]u_0(l)/l)}
\]

Here, u_l and u_o are the displacement fields in neighboring sheets 1 and 2 as a function of position x measured from the left side of RVE (x = 0), that is, the free end of the lower sheet 1 in Figure 1a. According to the staggered arrangement of sheets in the RVE, the free end of one sheet has the same coordinate x as the center of its neighboring sheets. h_0 is the interlayer distance, c = sinh(l/h_0), e = cosh(l/h_0), and l is the size of RVE or the half-sheet length. We define here a parameter \( \lambda_e = (Dh_0/4G)^{1/2} \) to characterize the length scale of effective interlayer load transfer through parameters obtained from our first-principles calculations, including the interlayer distance, h_0, the effective shear modulus of the interlayer cross-links, G, and tensile stiffness of the functionalized graphene sheet, D = Yh, where Y and h are its tensile modulus and thickness, respectively.

In addition to the effective tensile stiffness, Y_{eff}, the tensile strength and strain to failure are the other two important mechanical parameters. Under tensile loading, graphene-derived materials could fail in two distinct modes, that is, the fracture of the functionalized graphene sheet when the tensile stress in the graphene sheet is above a critical value, \( \sigma > \sigma_{cr} \) (denoted as mode G), or failure of interlayer cross-links when the interlayer shear strain exceeds a critical value, \( \gamma > \gamma_{cr} \) (mode I). Therefore, the tensile strength of graphene-derived LbL materials is the lower value selected from these two failure modes, that is

\[
\sigma_{cr} = \min\left\{ \frac{\sigma_{cr}}{2}, \frac{D\gamma_{cr}}{2(1 + c)} \right\}
\]

Similarly, the strain to failure of the material is the minimum value of those in modes G and I.
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Here, the first and second terms (in both modes G and I) are the contributions from the interlayer elongation and interlayer shear, respectively. The criterion of the selection of the failure modes is determined by both structural and mechanical properties of the functionalized graphene sheet and interlayer cross-links, that is

\[
\epsilon_i = \min \left\{ \frac{\sigma_{h}}{2D}, \frac{\gamma_{h} l_0}{D l_i s} (mode \ G), \frac{\sigma_{h}}{2l_0}, \frac{\gamma_{h} l_0}{l_i} (mode \ I) \right\}
\]

(3)

Detailed derivation for eqs 1–4 can be found in our previous report.1,2

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Mechanical Properties of Interlayer Cross-Links under Shear

To characterize the mechanical properties of an interlayer cross-link between graphene sheets (Figure 1a,b), we can define the interlayer shear stiffness as \( k \) and shear strength as \( F_{\gamma \alpha} \), on the basis of a linear elastic approximation

\[
F = k\gamma (F < F_{\gamma \alpha}, \gamma < \gamma_{\gamma \alpha})
\]

(5)

where \( F \) and \( \gamma \) are the shear force and strain of the cross-linking mechanism, \( F_{\gamma \alpha} \), \( \gamma_{\gamma \alpha} \), and \( k \) obtained from our first-principles calculations are summarized in Figures 1c,d \( (F_{\gamma \alpha} \) and \( \gamma_{\gamma \alpha} \) are determined from the point of curves where shear force starts to decline). For VCB, the direct covalent bonding between neighboring graphene sheets leads to a much higher interfacial shear strength \( \sim 6 \) nN than those for CB or HB. The interlayer distance with VCBs is almost the same as that for graphite, that is, \( h_0 = 0.342 \) nm. The configuration of the VCB cross-link is asymmetric along the armchair direction (Figure S3) and thus the VCB-cross-linked interface along the positive and negative directions presents different shear stiffness and strain to failure but similar shear force to failure. We name the cross-linking mechanism with the top-layer graphene displaced from the left to the right as VCB1 and that with the opposite shear direction as VCB2 (Figure 1b). The critical shear strain calculated for VCB1 and VCB2 is 0.348 and 1.002, respectively. For the CB-based cross-link, the ligands (hydroxyl groups and oxygen atoms bonded to graphene) offer electron pairs to form a complex with metal atoms or ions, which are able to reform after dissociation under mechanical perturbation because of its lower directional dependence.39,41

Our first-principles calculations show that the Mg-based coordinative complex leads to an interfacial shear force to failure, \( F_{\gamma \alpha} = 1.98 \) nN, which is defined as the force amplitude where the bond between Mg and oxygen atoms attached to the graphene sheet breaks, and the interlayer distance for CB is 0.695 nm. For HB, the interfacial shear force to failure is 1.07 nN and the interlayer distance is 0.374 nm. These mechanical properties obtained from our first-principles calculations for the three types of cross-links are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>α</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>k (nN)</th>
<th>( F_{\gamma \alpha} ) (nN)</th>
<th>( \gamma_{\gamma \alpha} )</th>
<th>d (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VCB1</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>21.46</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCB2</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be remarked here that in the discussion above, we study only one cross-link in the supercell that is large enough to exclude mutual interaction between the cross-link under consideration and its periodic images. Consequently, these mechanical parameters can be applied to isolated cross-links and can be extended to distributed cross-links on the condition that the concentration is low, wherein the interaction or cooperation between different cross-links is weak enough to be neglected. The cooperative effects at graphene interfaces with high-density cross-links, although important and interesting, will be explored in our future studies. In this limit, interlayer load transfer is the multiplication of the individual cross-links, and the interlayer shear stiffness and force to failure are proportional to the concentration of functional groups or the interlayer cross-link density. With this assumption, we define the concentration of functional groups in a graphene sheet as \( \phi \), which is defined as \( N_c/N_g \). Here, \( N_c \) is the number of carbon atoms in pristine graphene, whereas \( N_g \) is the number of functional groups. In the following analysis, we assume that each interlayer cross-link involves two functional groups in the neighboring graphene sheets, which is reasonable for the three types of cross-linking mechanisms we consider in this work.

The effective interlayer shear modulus, \( G \), and interfacial shear strength, \( \tau_{\gamma \alpha} \), are thus

\[
G = k\phi/f
\]

(6a)

\[
\tau_{\gamma \alpha} = k\gamma_{\gamma \alpha}\phi/f
\]

(6b)

where \( f = 0.026 \) nm² is the area of each carbon atom in graphene. In addition, from eq 3 we can also see that the value of \( \gamma_{\gamma \alpha} \) has a significant effect on the overall strain to failure of graphene-derived LbL materials.

#### Intralayer Mechanical Resistance of Functionalized Graphene

The key parameters for the mechanical resistance of the graphene sheet under tension in the DTS representation, where the cross-links are stretched as the cross-linked graphene sheets are displaced with respect to each other, \( F_{\tau \alpha} \) and \( \gamma_{\tau \alpha} \), are the shear force and strain of the cross-linking mechanism, \( \tau_{\tau \alpha} \) are the interfacial shear strength, \( \tau_{\tau \alpha} \) are the tensile strength, \( \sigma_{\tau \alpha} \) and \( \sigma_{\tau \alpha} \) are the tensile stiffness and strength of pristine graphene, and the reduction factors \( \alpha \), \( \beta \) are functionalization-specific parameters that characterize the significance of \( \phi \)-dependence. These parameters were obtained from our DFT calculations. The higher the values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), the more
significant reduction on the mechanical resistance of graphene sheets the defect could lead to.

On the basis of our first-principles calculations, we assume that the functional sites in graphene are randomly distributed and uncorrelated. Thus, eqs 7a and 7b can be applied to fit...
parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for the three representative functional groups (VCB, CB, HB). The results are shown in Figure 2 and summarized in Table 1. From the calculation results, we find that the VCB reduces in the in-plane tensile stiffness and...
strength most significantly. The CB and HB have similarly weaker effects on the stiffness of the graphene sheet as the lattice is less distorted, in a similar way for CB and HB at small strain levels. However, the reduction in the tensile strength at the same concentration is much more significant for CB than that for HB as the graphene lattice is more significantly perturbed by the CB functionalization. The reduction in Young’s modulus and tensile strength of functionalized graphene induced by the functional groups can also be visualized through the charge depletion during loading processes (Figure S4), which shows that the functionalization perturbs covalent bonds in graphene locally and nucleates fracture of the structures, which lead to the reduction in tensile stiffness and strength.

Optimum Design of Graphene-Derived LbL Materials. The intralayer and interlayer mechanical properties quantified by our first-principles calculations clearly indicate that the introduction of functional groups and cross-links enhances the interlayer shear resistance but reduces the in-plane tensile resistance. Consequently, there should be an OCD to maximize the overall effective tensile stiffness, $Y_{\text{eff}}$, and tensile strength, $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$, which can be determined by the DTS model.

From eq 1 and the values of $D$, $G$, and $h_0$ calculated for cross-links VCB1, VCB2, CB, and HB, we first calculate $Y_{\text{eff}}$ for graphene-derived LbL materials with sheet size $l = 100$ nm for various cross-linking densities $\phi$ (Figure 3a). The results show that $Y_{\text{eff}}$ first increases with $\phi$ and then decreases after a peak value. The OCD to maximize $Y_{\text{eff}}$ can be calculated as

$$\partial Y_{\text{eff}}/\partial \phi = 0 \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, $Y_{\text{eff}}$ is plotted as a function of the cross-linking density, the lateral size of graphene sheets, and the type of cross-links for graphene-derived LbL materials in Figure 4a. The $l$-dependence of OCD for the tensile stiffness and the value of $Y_{\text{eff}}$ at the OCD are plotted in Figure 5a, which shows that the optimum value of $Y_{\text{eff}}$ increases with $l$ first and then becomes saturated for $l \gg l_0$ while the load transfer between neighboring layers becomes sufficient. The highest value of $Y_{\text{eff}}$ is $D_0/h_0$ which can be achieved in the limit with $l \gg l_0$ and $\phi = 0$, as in the situation of graphite (Figure 4a). The ultimate values of the effective tensile stiffness for VCB1&2, HB, and CB cross-links are $\sim 0.9$, $0.4$, and $0.8$ TPa, respectively. Here in the definition of $Y_{\text{eff}}$ we use the interlayer distances of cross-linked interfaces as the thickness of the functionalized graphene sheets, which are obtained from first-principles calculations and considered to be uniform in the whole LbL material (Figure 5a). It should be remarked here that we neglected the variation of the interlayer distance in calculating the values of tensile stiffness and strength as the functional groups are nonuniformly distributed at the interlayer gallery, which could be introduced through an effective factor and should not affect our prediction of OCD significantly.

To determine the overall tensile strength of graphene-derived LbL materials, it is noted that it could fail in one of the two distinct modes (graphene intralayer fracture mode $G$ or interlayer failure mode $I$). The one with the lower tensile strength is selected as the failure mode. With eqs 2, 5, 6b, and 7b and parameters $\gamma_\alpha$ and $h_0$ obtained for VCB1, VCB2, CB, and HB, we conclude from Figure 3b for $l = 100$ nm that $\sigma$ (the lower values in two data sets) also increases first with $\phi$ before reaching a peak value in mode I as the cross-links improve the interlayer load transfer. After reaching the peak, $\sigma$ decreases with $\phi$ in mode $G$ as the functional groups weaken the graphene sheets. The transition in the failure modes depends on the values of $l$ and $\phi$ (Figure 4b; for HB, only mode I failure applies). The OCD for the ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$, and the value of $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ at the OCD can be determined from eq 4, showing that the ultimate values of $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ for VCB1, VCB2, HB, and CB are $\sim 36$, $42$, $30$, and $20$ GPa, respectively (Figure 5b). It should be noted that the difference of the ultimate tensile strength value is also attributed to changes in the interlayer distance, in addition to the competition between reduction in the in-plane resistance and enhancement in the interlayer shear resistance. The maximum values of $Y_{\text{eff}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{eff}}$ are attained at different $\phi$ values and thus a compromise has to be made between high stiffness and high strength in design.

Furthermore, the overall strain to failure also is a very important mechanical parameter for the material that quantifies its toughness. Our results show that as the cross-link density increases, the intralayer tensile stiffness, $D$, decreases, whereas the interlayer shear modulus increases and thus the strain to failure increases, according to eq 3. Considering the practical interest, we calculate the strain to failure of graphene-derived LbL assemblies of $l_0 = (Dh_0/4G)^{1/2} > h_0$ and the results show that the strain to failure peaks at a high cross-linking density and for small graphene sheets (Figure 4c). Specifically, the VCB2 and CB cross-links lead to high strain to failure ($\sim 30$ and $25\%$, respectively) at the OCD for the tensile strength due to their high shear strain to failure, $\gamma_\alpha$ (Figure 4b,c).

Additional Remarks on the Effects of Microstructural Characteristics. With the mechanical properties of graphene-derived LbL materials predicted from our DTS model and results reported in recent experimental studies,$^{17,55–66}$ we summarize the current status of material design in Figure 6.

The survey shows that our theoretical predictions suggest that these materials are highly promising, surpassing the carbon fibers, although the present synthesis and fabrication processes limit their mechanical performance, wherein the ideal model illustrated in Figure 1 cannot be well controlled. In the previous discussion, a regular LbL structure is considered and the effects of wrinkles in the sheets and nonuniform distribution of the surface functional groups have not been taken into account, which, however, are typical microstructural features of graphene-derived materials and are responsible for further weakening of the sheets and/or interfacial load transfer locally.

Figure 6. Overall tensile stiffness and strength of graphene-derived LbL materials. The data are collected from the literature for graphene films and fibers, carbon nanotube fibers and the carbon fiber,$^{17,55–66}$ and the results obtained in this work.
Specifically, the formation of wrinkles break down the load transfer path within the graphene sheets, which can be considered as the reduction of sheet size $l$ in our DTS model and could reduce the overall performance of graphene-derived LbL materials. For example, for the HB-cross-linked sheets with $\phi = 1\%$, the formation of a single wrinkle in the graphene sheet with lateral size $l = 10$ nm results in $60.2\%$ reduction in $Y_{\text{eff}}$ and $41.7\%$ reduction in the tensile strength, $\sigma_{\text{ff}}$. The functional groups on the graphene sheets may form clusters in the basal plane, becoming more adhesive to the neighboring layers but more detrimental to their in-plane mechanical resistance. As a result, the local interlayer load transfer could be enhanced but the intralayer mechanical resistance is reduced, which can be considered as a "renormalized" cross-link with a lower density. With these irregularities considered in our DTS model, we could explain the fact that from experimental reports in the literature, the characteristic lateral size of graphene sheets is $\sim 1-50$ $\mu$m, whereas the value is only $\sim 0.01-1$ $\mu$m in our theoretical predictions without considering the microstructural features as discussed above.

**CONCLUSIONS**

To summarize, we explored the overall mechanical properties of graphene-derived LbL materials with interlayer cross-links by three representative functional groups on graphene, on the basis of first-principles calculations and continuum model-based analysis. The irregular microstructural features neglected in the ideal model are also discussed, which explains the relatively low performance reported in the literature and demonstrates the superior performance of the material if its microstructures can be finely controlled in the fabrication processes. Although our discussion is limited in the low-cross-linking-density regime, the conclusions could be extended to high concentrations as well, wherein more cooperative effects such as clustering of functional groups in graphene and interfacial self-healing upon loading may be activated.\(^1\) Moreover, the effects of irregularity in the graphene sheet size and arrangement in determining the mechanical properties of the LbL assemblies could be further probed using the current model, as has been done for biological materials such as bones and carbon nanotube bundles.\(^{19,26,28}\) The OCDs to maximize the effective tensile stiffness and tensile strength are predicted, and the effects of microstructural features of graphene sheets on the overall mechanical performance of the films are discussed, offering a rational guide for the design and fabrication of high-performance structural materials with functionalized graphene sheets as building blocks.
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